Jones to them, his promise to secure them by a conveyance of the "Wheatfield Inn," and his failure to do so, and praying that he might be decreed to pay the money.

On the 22d of October following, they filed a second bill in the same court, with similar allegations as the first, and charging, moreover, that Jones was about making a conveyance of his property for the benefit of his creditors, prayed for an injunction to restrain him from conveying the "Wheatfield Inn," and an injunction was granted accordingly. Jones filed an answer to the first bill, on the 30th of October, 1846, admitting the allegations thereof, and on the day following, a decree passed directing him to bring into court forthwith to be paid to the complainants for the separate use of Emily J. Albert, and the other cetuis que trusts mentioned in the will of Talbot Jones, deceased, the sum of \$24,319 34, with interest on a portion thereof, to be invested under the direction of the court.

Prior to this decree, that is to say, on the twenty-sixth of the same month and year, Jones conveyed all his property, except the "Wheatfield Inn," to Winn and Ross, upon the terms and conditions therein stated for the benefit of his creditors.

On the 19th of December, 1846, a writ of fieri facias issued upon the decree of Baltimore County Court, on the application of Albert and wife, which was levied by the sheriff on the "Wheatfield Inn."

Under these circumstances, Winn and Ross, the trustees in the deed executed, by Jones, on the 26th of October, 1846, together with certain creditors of Jones, filed their bill in this court, on the 13th of January, 1847, the object of which was upon the grounds stated therein, to vacate, as fraudulent and void, the decree of Baltimore County Court: and after a supplemental bill had been filed by Winn and Ross, as trustees of Jones in insolvency, he having petitioned for the benefit of the insolvent laws, on the 11th of January, 1847, and they appointed his trustees on the 2d of the ensuing month of February, an order passed this court on the 1st of December, 1847, continuing the injunction which had been granted upon the bill filed on the 13th of January, 1847, restraining Albert and wife from proceeding to enforce their decree.